## J. D. DeHaan, B.S.

# Quantitative Differential Thermal Analysis of Nitrocellulose Propellants

Gunpowder residues have long been important as evidence in the investigation of firearms cases, particularly in the reconstruction of crime scene events. Early work was based solely on the spatial distribution of unburned powder and its relationship to the distance between the target material and the weapon's muzzle. Current refinements have included mathematical analysis of these patterns and the identification of patterns of trace metal transfers of bullet lead, copper, and zinc. or primer lead, barium, and antimony by neutron activation, atomic absorption, or X-ray fluorescence.

Often the nature of the powder itself is ignored by the examiner; it is identified by its physical appearance or a simple chemical test as being gunpowder and dismissed without further analysis. Recently, thin-layer and gas chromatography (TLC and GC) techniques have permitted the examination and comparison of smokeless powders on the basis of the stabilizers used and their by-products [1,2].

The advent of stable and sensitive commercial differential thermal analysis (DTA) systems now permits the examination of single flakes of disk-type propellants, ranging in weight from 20 to 600  $\mu$ g each, with minimal specimen preparation. The qualitative thermal properties, such as the temperatures of initiation, ignition, and completion, and the reaction rate, as well as the quantitative properties, such as heat of explosion, can then be established for each powder. Unknown powder residues collected from evidence materials can then be characterized as to their dynamic thermal properties in addition to the routine measurement of their static physical and chemical properties.

#### Procedure

#### Instrumentation

Preliminary DTA studies of smokeless powders revealed that energy released by the combustion of single flakes of such materials was on the order of 10 to 100 mcal, and that reproducible heating rates were essential to reliable results [3]. The DTA system used in these experiments was a Series 300 QDTA Thermalyzer<sup>®</sup> (Fisher Scientific), shown in Figs. 1 and 2. This system provides sufficient sensitivity (maximum dT/in: 0.03°C/in), one-millicalorie readability, reproducible and adjustable heating rates, small disposable sample pans, and provisions for rapid water cooling. Specimens were weighed on a Cahn Model G-2 Electrobalance<sup>®</sup> which provided 0.01-mg readability with

Presented at the 26th Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Dallas, Texas, 13 Feb. 1974. Received for publication 22 March 1974; revised manuscript received 22 Aug. 1974; accepted for publication 26 Aug. 1974.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Criminalist, Criminalistics Laboratory, Investigative Services Branch, Department of Justice, Sacramento, Calif.



FIG. 1-Quantitative DTA system with recorder.



FIG. 2-Sample oven and typical sample pan.

100-mg capacity for weighing sealed sample pans at approximately 32 mg each.

Thermograms were recorded on a two-pen strip chart recorder. One pen recorded sample temperature T while the other recorded dT, the difference in temperature between the sample and an inert reference. The time-base recording of the dT signal permitted direct integration of the thermogram peak to yield a measure of the heat generated (or absorbed) by a given reaction [4]. Using thermochemical transitions of accurately known energy, the peak area was calibrated to the heat transfer and heat capacity properties of the particular instrument. (These properties, of course, vary from instrument to instrument.) It was then possible to calculate the energy of an unknown reaction directly.

#### Experimental Procedure

Single flakes of eleven of the most common, commercially available reloading powders and four types of factory-load handgun cartridges were selected for analysis. Until the advent of the very newest Winchester-Western ball-type reloading powders, the most common form of general purpose nitrocellulose propellant was the flat disk, roughly circular in outline. Other special purpose powders are of various geometric configurations: hollow cylinders for rifle loads, spherical balls for handgun and rifle loads, and irregular granules for handgun use. Since it was thought that the flat, circular disk form would offer the most opportunity for misidentification based on physical shape alone, especially when only a limited number of flasks were present, all of the powders analyzed here were of this form. Samples of each powder selected were identified as being single-base (nitrocellulose) or double-base (nitrocellulose and nitroglycerin) on the basis of their infrared (IR) spectrograms [5].

Each flake was weighed and sealed in a disposable aluminum sample pan using the sample encapsulator provided with the Fisher DTA system. Four symmetrically placed holes were punched in the lid of each pan to insure reproducible pressure levels by dissipating generated gases, thereby keeping the sample environment at atmospheric levels. For each powder, between seven and twelve individual flakes were selected at random and analyzed.

An empty sample pan was used as a reference. Temperature programming was carried out at 25°C/min from ambient (18 to 25°C) to 250°C, and a dT/in. sensitivity of 0.09°C/in. was used to simplify interpretation. A continuous flow of water at 18°C was maintained through the cooling mantle of the sample chamber at 700 cm<sup>3</sup>/min. Zero points of T and dT were set per the manufacturer's recommendations. The resulting temperature output was checked against the fusion temperatures of calorimetric standards of tin, indium, and lead, and found to agree within  $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C.

Integration was carried out by one of two methods. All of the thermograms were photocopied and then the peaks were cut from the copies and weighed. This method was found to have a reproducibility error of approximately 4%, caused by distortion of the peak dimensions by the photocopying process and irregularities in the density and moisture content of the bond paper used in the copier. To minimize these effects, copying and weighing were carried out in three major data runs. Calibration thermograms of tin and indium fusions were copied and weighed with each set of propellant thermograms to provide a rough internal standard.

The second integration method involved the overlay tracing of the thermograms through Mylar<sup>®</sup> film, then cutting out these replicas and weighing them. Agreement between integrations of tin and indium thermograms carried out by this method was on

the order of 1%. Due to the substantially lower uncertainties obtained by this method, it was used as a double check on a number of the powders analyzed.

#### Results

#### Theory

Guncotton, the precursor of modern propellants, is essentially pure nitrocellulose and is dangerously unstable. Small amounts of HNO<sub>3</sub> or impurities can trigger the decomposition of the nitrocellulose by promoting the conversion of the  $ONO_2$  radicals to  $NO_2$  and heat. The NO<sub>2</sub> combines with water to form more HNO<sub>3</sub>. Successively more and more heat is generated until the entire lot explodes. Modern nitrocellulose propellants contain stabilizers, usually 1 to 2% diphenylamine, which react with excess NO<sub>2</sub> and prevent it from triggering further ONO<sub>2</sub> decomposition.

Since nitrocellulose functions as a propellant by generating large quantities of gases while undergoing an explosive burning process, its true behavior is pressure dependent. Due to the mechanical problems inherent in making a strong pressure vessel with sufficiently small thermal mass so as to retain sensitivity for very low energy combustions, very special apparatus is required for analysis under conditions that are normal for nitrocellulose propellant use [6]. Therefore, one must be content with evaluating a propellant's behavior at atmospheric pressure.

The explosive burning process mentioned above begins as a normal combustion when the temperature reaches 170 to 180°C. Heat generated by the combustion of the first "layers" accelerates the combustion of succeeding portions of the flake. The pressure generated by the production of NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, H<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>O vapor causes the reaction to proceed faster and faster. At 500 psi, the combustion rate is approximately 0.5 in./s and rises to 3.5 in./s at 20,000 psi. [7]. The progressive burning feature of nitrocellulose makes the geometry of the individual flakes very important to the behavior of the propellant as a whole. Nonperforated disks burn from the outside in, and the surface participating in the reaction grows progressively smaller. Perforated disks or pellets maintain roughly the same surface area during combustion. As the "hole" becomes larger, the exposed surface area grows to compensate for the decreasing surface area of the outer perimeter. It is these two dynamic features of propellants, the effects of sample geometry and temperature stability, which are revealed by DTA.

#### Analysis

Examination of several typical thermograms in Fig. 3 reveals three major temperature transition points, all of which were recorded for each specimen. First, the initiation temperature  $T_i$  represents the slow beginning of combustion of the flake. This is characterized as the point where the dT signal leaves its baseline. Second, the onset temperature  $T_o$  of the most rapid portion of the decomposition represents generally the temperature at which self-sustaining levels of heat are being generated to accelerate the combustion rate.  $T_o$  is located on the thermogram by extrapolating this portion of the curve back to the baseline. Third, the peak temperature  $T_m$  represents the point at which the reaction is completed. The specimen quickly dissipates its accumulated heat, and the dT signal begins to return to the baseline. This maximum temperature is a close approximation of the temperature at which the exothermic reaction ceases in this system, since ballistic error is minimized by the small thermal masses of the sample pan and the thermocouple assembly, and the relatively slow exothermic peaks encountered.



FIG. 3-Typical thermograms showing separate T and dT traces.

Two qualitative indices were calculated relating to the speed of the observed combustion. The first is a simple time-base criterion measured from time displacements on the thermogram. Elapsed times t between the onset of rapid combustion and completion and between initiation and completion were ratioed as:

$$\frac{dt}{t} = \frac{t_{\rm m} - t_{\rm o}}{t_{\rm m} - t_{\rm i}}$$

where  $t_i$ ,  $t_o$ , and  $t_m$  are the time positions corresponding to the initiation, onset, and maximum completion temperatures described previously.

The quantity dt/t approaches unity as the reaction rate decreases and approaches zero as the reaction rate increases. This quantity is dependent upon heating rate and is recorded only as a rough criterion for evaluating the propellant reactions when a 25°C/min heating rate is used.

Also calculated was a temperature-based index of explosibility  $\delta$ , where:

$$\delta = \frac{T_{\rm o} - T_{\rm i}}{T_{\rm m} - T_{\rm i}}$$

#### 248 JOURNAL OF FORENSIC SCIENCES

This quantity approaches unity as the reaction rate increases and approaches zero as the reaction rate decreases. Being temperature dependent,  $\delta$  is less dependent on the heating rate than dt/t and is a measure of a propellant's chemical reactivity and the effects of flake geometry. Some discrepancies in the determination of  $\delta$  will be caused by a fast heating rate, since irregularities in the heat transfer properties within the flake will be reflected in the thermogram. If true behavior is to be recorded by the index  $\delta$ , it should be determined at several different heating rates and the results plotted. Extrapolation back to zero heating rate would then yield a true  $\delta$ . In criminalistics applications where samples are extremely limited, one heating rate must suffice to minimize sample consumption.

#### Discussion

Table 1 demonstrates that many of the common smokeless powders have distinctive reaction temperatures. All of the individual flakes of a particular powder do not have exactly the same set of temperature values (initiation, onset, and completion). The values fall within a narrow range of  $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C of the set's numerical average for most powders. The width of this range will reflect the stability of the powder and the nature of the batch mixture employed in the manufacture of the powder. Specimens which have been discharged from a weapon and recovered from the target material typically have a wider range of temperature values, indicating that reduced efficiency stems from partial combustion of the powder and its retardant coating.

Not all specimens of a particular powder exhibit the same reaction rate. Some powders, such as Herco<sup>®</sup>, Green Dot<sup>®</sup>, and Red Dot<sup>®</sup>, include both fast- and slowburning disks. Others, such as Unique<sup>®</sup>, Remington-Peters (R-P) 12-gauge shotgun powder, and Winchester 540MS<sup>®</sup>, exhibit very uniform combustion characteristics.

Both the ignition temperature and the reaction rate appear to be dependent upon specimen weight. The heavier a particular flake is within its weight range, the faster its combustion will be and the lower its temperature values will be. This, of course, follows from the typical burning pattern described previously. More massive flakes permit more prolonged self-sustaining combustion with the generation of more heat, thus accelerating the combustion of the remaining nitrocellulose. It was found that the temperature values of the lighter powders such as Bullseye<sup>®</sup> and the .22 rimfire powders were more dependent upon sample weight. When test-fired specimens of these powders represented very light individual flakes,  $T_m$  and  $T_o$  were significantly higher than the values for flakes of average weight.

Even larger variations were found in the heats of explosion calculated for each powder. The weight dependence of this value is shown in Figs. 4 to 6, where heat of explosion is plotted against specimen weight. Some powders, such as Red Dot<sup>®</sup>, Unique<sup>®</sup>, and .22 rimfire, demonstrate no clear relationship between sample weight and energy, however. In general, the more massive a particular flake is, within its typical weight range, the lower its heat of explosion will be.

It should be noted that the energies measured here are, in many cases, a fraction of the published heats of explosion for the same powder. Hercules  $2400^{\circ}$ , for example, was measured at 290 to 665 cal/g, whereas the published value is 1150 cal/g [8,9]. Unique<sup> $\circ$ </sup> was measured at 205 to 380 cal/g, compared with the published value of 1380 cal/g. These discrepancies are the result of the effects of pressure upon nitrocellulose combustion. Commercial determinations are made using several grams of powder and a bomb calorimeter. The resulting pressure levels permit complete combustion and thereby complete release of energy. This is corroborated by the relatively large amounts of

							Energy,	cal/g	
Powder	<i>T</i> <sub>i</sub> , °C	$T_{0}, °C$	$T_{\mathrm{m}}$ , °C	Weight, mg	( <i>dt</i> / <i>t</i> ) a	d (Calculated)	Range	Mean	Description
Alcan AL-7®	185 ± 4	198 ± 1	207 ± 2	0.08-0.14	0.18-0.67	0.59 (0.5-0.7)	300-530	490	single base; flat, nonperfo- rated, rectangular wafers
Hercules Bullseye (b) Lot 1 Hercules Bullseye (b) Lot 2	183 ± 2 184 ± 3	$193 \pm 2$ $191 \pm 2$	$\begin{array}{c} 206 \pm 2 \\ 206 \pm 2 \end{array}$	0.09-0.14 0.05-0.13	0.57-0.80 0.50-0.75	0.44 (0.4-0.5) 0.32 (0.2-0.4)	365-435 245-655	410 410	double base; flat, nonperfo- rated, circular disks, light
Test-Fire	183±3	193 ± 2	204 ± 2	0.04-0.09	0.40-0.75	0.48 (0.3-0.5)	345-645	350	gray
Hercules Green Dot®	177 ± 5	197 ± 3	201 ± 3	0.14-0.36	0.07-0.40	0.83 (0.4-0.9)	230-370	370	double base; flat, perforated, circular disks
Hercules Herco® Hercules Herco® Test-Fire	178 ± 4 177 ± 2	198 ± 2 194 ± 2	$\begin{array}{c} 201 \pm 3 \\ 201 \pm 2 \end{array}$	0.14-0.43 0.14-0.32	0.04-0.40 0.10-0.80	0.87 (0.6-0.9) 0.71 (0.5-0.9)	240-670 305-430	255 420	double base; flat, perforated, circular dísks
Hercules Red Dot® Lot 1 Hercules Red Dot® Lot 2	$181 \pm 3$ $179 \pm 3$	$196 \pm 3$ $194 \pm 2$	205 ± 2 205 ± 2	0.08-0.20 0.10-0.22	0.15-0.75 0.17-0.80	0.63 (0.3-0.8) 0.58 (0.5-0.9)	250-550 320-625	260 480	double base; flat, perforated, circular disks, light gray
Hercules Red Dot® Test-Fire	$180 \pm 4$	$194 \pm 3$	203 ± 3	0.06-0.30	0.20-0.45	0.61 (0.6-0.7)	275-445	370	with rea disks added for identification
Hercules Reloder 7®	165 ± 4	184 ± 3	186 ± 2	0.39-0.60	0.07-0.13	0.91 (0.9-1.0)	230-375	270	double base; cylindrical, per- forated pellets
R-P 12-gauge Shotgun	169 ± 4	193 ± 2	194 ± 2	0.27-0.43	0.08-0.12	0.96 (0.9-1.0)	185285	285	double base; flat, nonperfo- rated, circular disks
R-P357 Magnum	185 ± 3	194 ± 1	$203 \pm 2$	0.08-0.14	0.33-0.50	0.50 (0.5-0.6)	350-610	455	double base; flat, nonperfo- rated, circular disks
Peters .22 Long Rifle Peters .22 Test-Fire	186 ± 2 193 ± 2	194 ± 1 198 ± 2	$204 \pm 2$ $209 \pm 2$	0.09-0.22 0.02-0.06	0.30-0.60 0.44-0.62	0.44 (0.3-0.6) 0.31 (0.3-0.5)	210-435 395-650	320 460	double base; flat, nonperfo- rated, circular disks, light gray

TABLE 1—Temperature values and quantitative results for nitrocellulose powders.

DE HAAN ON NITROCELLULOSE PROPELLANTS 249

				I ADEE I	-Commuted.				
							Energy, e	cal/g	
Powder	$T_1$ , °C	$T_0$ , °C	$T_{ m m}$ , °C	Weight, mg	(dt/t) a	δ (Calculated)	Range	Mean	Description
Federal .22 Long Rifle	182 ± 3	197 ± 1	203 ± 2	0.09-0.32	0.20-0.39	0.71 (0.3-0.7)	230-670	475	double base; flat, nonperfo-
Federal .22 Long Kitle Test-Fire Questioned Powder	$187 \pm 3$ $193 \pm 4$	$\begin{array}{l} 198 \pm 1 \\ 199 \pm 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 206 \pm 2 \\ 208 \pm 3 \end{array}$	0.07-0.15 0.02-0.09	0.28-0.70 0.25-0.60	0.58 (0.4-0.7) 0.40 (0.3-0.6)	240-365 215-660	300 NA	tateu, circulai ulaks, ligiil gray-green
Hercules 2400 <sup>®</sup> Lot 1 Hercules 2400 <sup>®</sup> Lot 2	$181 \pm 3$ $176 \pm 5$	$197 \pm 2$ $194 \pm 4$	$\begin{array}{c} 201 \pm 3 \\ 197 \pm 3 \end{array}$	0.09-0.24 0.16-0.40	0.07 - 0.31 0.08 - 0.19	0.80 (0.6-0.9) 0.86 (0.6-0.9)	290-665 240-515	290 310	double base; flat, cylindrical pellets, light coating
Hercules Unique® Hercules Unique® Test-Fire	173 ± 2 174 ± 4	$195 \pm 2$ $192 \pm 4$	$197 \pm 2$ $199 \pm 4$	0.20-0.39 0.15-0.24	0.08-0.16 0.12-0.60	0.91 (0.8-0.9) 0.72 (0.5-0.9)	205-380 300-475	255 350	double base; flat, nonperfo- rated, circular disks, medium gray
Unknown Powder Test-Fire	180 ± 4	195 ± 2	204 ± 2	0.10-0.31	0.12-0.62	0.61 (0.5-0.9)	240-520	310	flat, perforated, circular disks, light gray
Winchester 230 P.® Winchester 450LS ® Winchester 540MS ®	182 ± 4 182 ± 3 166 ± 6	$198 \pm 3$ $196 \pm 2$ $193 \pm 2$	$205 \pm 3$ $206 \pm 2$ $194 \pm 2$	0.09-0.35 0.08-0.22 0.26-0.43	0.07-0.44 0.22-0.45 0.04-0.14	0.70 (0.6-0.9) 0.58 (0.5-0.7) 0.96 (0.9-1.0)	230-410 235-450 210-345	310 420 260	double base; flat, nonperfo- rated disks, roughly cir- cular, heavy black coating
<sup>a</sup> At 25°C/min heating	rate.								

rate.
heating
/min
25°C/
Ţ

7 TABLE 1-Continue

## 250 JOURNAL OF FORENSIC SCIENCES



### DE HAAN ON NITROCELLULOSE PROPELLANTS

251

residue remaining after combustion at atmospheric pressure, typically 5 to 10% of sample weight, as compared with the virtually complete conversion to gases that occurs in normal firearms use.

Two lots of each of three reloading powders were sampled to examine the potential of DTA analysis in detecting lot-to-lot variations. The two lots of Hercules  $2400^{\circ}$  (from widely separate sources) exhibited significant differences in their temperature values, whereas their values for dt/t and  $\delta$  were approximately the same for both. The two lots of Red Dot<sup>®</sup> were indistinguishable, as were two lots of Bullseye<sup>®</sup>, on the basis of both temperature and quantitative values.

One .38 Special caliber cartridge was prepared as an unknown using the recommended powder charge and was test-fired in a cleaned, .38 Special caliber revolver at a distance of 8 in. from cotton toweling, along with a series of similar cartridges loaded with Herco<sup>®</sup>, Red Dot<sup>®</sup>, Unique<sup>®</sup>, and Bullseye<sup>®</sup>. The unknown was subsequently successfully differentiated from Herco<sup>®</sup>, Unique<sup>®</sup>, and Bullseye<sup>®</sup> and was identified as Red Dot<sup>®</sup> on the basis of its qualitative (temperatures and reaction rates) and quantitative analytical results.

#### **Summary and Conclusion**

Quantitative differential thermal analysis (DTA) has demonstrated its usefulness in characterizing nitrocellulose firearms propellants obtained from reloading powders, factory ammunition, and test-fire targets. The commercial DTA system employed in this study provided sufficient sensitivity to accurately record the 10 to 100-mcal exothermic reactions encountered. Such state-of-the-art systems permit the determination of the thermal properties of single flakes of these powders, ranging in weight from 20 to 600  $\mu$ g. These thermal properties include initiation and combustion temperatures, combustion rate, stabilizer and retardant effectiveness, and explosive energy.

Each powder presents considerable variation from flake to flake in all the observed thermal properties, making identification of single flakes nearly impossible. When a sufficient number (five to ten) of individual flakes are available, the powder can be characterized by the range of values measured for initiation temperature, combustion rate, and heat of explosion. Samples from several suspected sources can then be differentiated and compared with specimens from ammunition, clothing, or explosive devices. If the possible sources include powders which are of the same type, but which have been exposed to contamination or deterioration by unusual storage condition, the thermal properties observed will indicate the most likely source.

All thermal analysis results are somewhat instrument dependent. Therefore, interpretation of thermograms produced on other DTA systems must include allowances for variables such as the degree of sample containment and the heat capacities of the sample chamber. Quantitative DTA results are therefore best suited for comparative analyses between evidence materials and specimens from suspected sources, rather than as an absolute identification based on comparisons with published thermogram reference collections.

More extensive experimentation suggests itself in the areas of evolved gas analysis and the effects of prolonged or extreme storage conditions on the explosive properties of propellants. Further sampling and analysis should include rifle powders, ball-type powders, and other nitrocellulose-based materials of lower nitration percentages such as celluloid plastic, rayon, and lacquers.

Since DTA is able to reveal thermal and chemical properties that most other techniques do not, it has a wide variety of applications in evidence analysis [3]. As an

adjunct to physical and chemical means of determining the static properties of suspected explosives and propellants, DTA provides a unique means of examining dynamic thermal properties of these important evidential materials.

#### Acknowledgments

The author is deeply indebted to the sales personnel of Fisher Scientific and Cahn Balances for the loan of the instruments employed in this study, and would like to express his appreciation to Dr. Dennis Gibboney, of Fisher Scientific's Thermal Analysis Laboratory, and Mr. J. M. Rynearson, of the California Department of Justice Criminalistics Laboratory, Redding, Calif., for their invaluable suggestions.

#### References

- [1] Booker, J. L., "A Method for the Identification of Smokeless Powders and Their Residues By Thin-Layer Chromatography of Their Minor Constituents," Journal of the Forensic Science Society, Vol. 13, 1973, pp. 199-201.
- [2] Trowell, J. M. and Philpot, M. C., "Gas Chromatographic Determination of Plasticizers and Stabilizers in Composite Modified Double-Base Propellants," *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 41, 1969, pp. 166-168.
- [3] Rynearson, J. M. and DeHaan, J. D., "Some Aspects of Thermal Analysis in Criminalistics," presented at the Sixth International Meeting of Forensic Sciences, Edinburgh, Scotland, 1972.
- [4] Baxter, R. A., "Thermal Analysis and Recording Systems," DuPont Reprint A-59857, E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del., 1967.
- [5] Pristera, F., Halik, M., Castelli, A. and Fredericks, W., "Analysis of Explosives Using Infrared Spectroscopy," Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 32, 1960, pp. 495-508.
- [6] Bohon, R. L., "Approximate Heats of Explosion Using Differential Thermal Analysis," Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 35, 1965, pp. 1845-1852.
- [7] Lowry, E. D., Interior Ballistics, Doubleday Co., Garden City, N.Y., 1968, p. 121.
- [8] Yard, E. M., The Handloader, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 34-38.
- [9] "Smokeless Powder Guide," Hercules, Inc., 1970.

Criminalistics Laboratory Investigative Services Branch Department of Justice 3301 C St. Sacramento, Calif. 95813